

William L Bown  
842 West 400 North  
West Bountiful, Utah 84087

RE: UTU-72296  
(UT-923)  
3809

Ms. Selma Sierra – State Director  
United States Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management  
Utah State Office  
P.O. Box 45155  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0155

April 2, 2010

Dear Ms. Sierra,

I am in receipt of a certain certified letter from you stamped Mar. 12, 2010 and further identified in the appropriate place above.

In this letter you inform myself and other listed claimants of the intention of the Utah State Office, USDI-BLM to conduct a “Common Variety Determination” to investigate whether building stone occurring on certain identified placer claims held by myself and others is of a common or uncommon variety.

Doubtless, you have been with the BLM long enough to be aware that inside the BLM exists the firm doctrine that there are no uncommon varieties of building stone – period. Perhaps you should have phrased your letter more to the point that your office is intent on wresting valid claims from myself and the other claimants, and that you intend to “use” the aforementioned “Common Variety Determination” process as the vehicle for the accomplishment of this intention.

This letter is to inform you that should your office persist in this plan to conduct said “Common Variety Determination” on the building stone seemingly at issue as at the earliest date or most elemental detail as described in your letter, myself and the other claimants named will hold you and your office criminally liable for willful disregard of adjudicated mining law, deliberate clouding of claim title(s) and various other punitive damages as would then be set forth.

As you must know, the building stone deposit at issue has already run the gauntlet of a contest of validity brought by the BLM. I have enclosed a copy of the dismissal of the Contests (BLM) for your review.

RECEIVED  
APR 05 2010  
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

As principle claimant of the stone seemingly at issue, I must insist that you re-think the intentions of your office to conduct any further or subsequent (duplicative) validity exam upon the subject building stone, and instead, abandon any such evaluation, thereby demonstrating as someone in your position must, the proper respect and regard for the rule of law as well as adherence to the "Doctrine of Administrative Finality" (res judicata) as pertaining to that ruling previously tendered by the Administrative Law Judge.

It is important that you communicate with me with regard to the contents of this letter within 20 days of your receipt thereof. If I do not hear from you in that time period, I will assume that your office has, in the face of that which you now must fully understand, determined nonetheless to go forward with the intention of conducting a subsequent (duplicative) "Common Variety Determination" on the stone seemingly at issue, at which time I will immediately proceed to seek legal relief.

Sincerely,

  
William L Bown, et al

Cc: Mr. John R. Baza – Director, Utah Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining

RECEIVED

APR 05 2010

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING